

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JASON SAMUEL SALYER,

Defendant and Appellant.

E036605

(Super.Ct.No. SWF005887)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. James T. Warren, Judge.
Affirmed.

Beatrice C. Tillman, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On June 23, 2004, pursuant to Penal Code section 1192.5, Jason Samuel Salyer (defendant), represented by counsel, pled guilty to one count of receiving stolen property in violation of Penal Code section 496, subdivision (a), as charged in count 5 of the information filed by the Riverside County District Attorney. Defendant also admitted the

special allegation filed pursuant to Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b).

Thereafter, and in accordance with the negotiated disposition, defendant was committed to state prison for four years, less custody credits, and the remaining counts and special allegations were dismissed and stricken on motion of the People and in the interests of justice pursuant to Penal Code section 1385. Defendant's plea disposition in this case also included an agreement that Defendant's prison term in case No. SWF005915 would be served concurrently with case No. SWF005887.

Defendant appealed and upon his request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which he has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS

RAMIREZ

P. J.

We concur:

McKINSTER

J.

KING

J.